

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/683,685 02/03/2002		02/03/2002	Aleksandar Susnjar		3106
30379	7590	08/31/2004		EXAMINER	
ALEKSAN			VERBRUGGE, KEVIN		
25 SAINTSBURY ROAD MARKHAM, ON L6C 2H9				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			2188		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/683,685	SUSNJAR, ALEKSANDAR					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin Verbrugge	2188					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 June 2004</u> . Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>the</u>							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-15 and 19	<u>)</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Jerl &					
	Moor	Kevin Verbrugge Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2188					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

The Examiner called the Applicant on 8/30/04 to discuss the case and clarify procedures. It was discussed that the Notice of Appeal received on 6/3/04 provides two months' time in which to file an Appeal Brief for free. After 8/3/04, Applicant must purchase an extension of time, up to five months, to file a brief. A brief is for requesting review of the Examiner's rejection of claims 16-18.

These time periods also apply to the filing of an RCE, so after 8/3/04, Applicant must purchase an extension of time, up to five months, to file an RCE.

If Applicant wishes merely to petition the restriction requirement, no additional fee is due since the Notice of Appeal was a bona fide (genuine) attempt to petition the restriction requirement. However, since the Notice of Appeal was not a proper petition, Applicant must send in a separate petition now to successfully petition the restriction requirement.

If Applicant wishes to keep claims 1-19 alive and file an RCE, then the petition regarding the restriction requirement must accompany the RCE along with the proposed amendment to claim 16 removing the word "system" from "hard disk drive system." This amendment would be the "submission" required with an RCE.

If Applicant files an RCE, cancels the current claims, and adds new claims, no petition is necessary or allowed since the restricted claims would cease to exist. In this case, the new claims would be the "submission" required with the RCE. The necessary papers would then include the RCE form, payment of the fee, and the submission consisting of the new claims and the request to cancel claims 1-19.

Applicant is reminded to follow the format in 37 CFR 1.121 for claims:

1-19 (canceled).

20 (new).

etc.